

Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 169799
ORIGIN IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 AF-10 ARA-10 EA-10 EUR-12 NEA-10
DOE-15 ACDA-12 CIAE-00 DODE-00 PM-05 INR-10 L-03
NSAE-00 NASA-01 SOE-02 SS-15 OES-07 CCO-00
OTPE-00 EB-08 FCC-01 OC-06 COME-00 BIB-01 NRC-05
SP-02 CEQ-01 NSCE-00 SSO-00 ICAE-00 INRE-00 /161 R

DRAFTED BY IO/UNP:JKWARD:SL
APPROVED BY IO/UNP:PSBRIDGES
S/P:WGA,HRIGHT
L/UNA:SBOND
NASA/I:SDOYLE
OES/APT/SA:IPIKUS
EB/TT/TD:MHARRISON
DOD/ISA:EMELANSON
NSC:CDODSON
FCC:KSCHEAFER

-----025668 052357Z /21

O 052308Z JUL 78
FM SECSTATE WASHDC
TO USMISSION USUN NEW YORK IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169799

FOR JERRY HELMAN

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: TSPA, UN

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE FOR US DELEGATION TO UN OUTER SPACE
COMMITTEE

1. FOLLOWING IS GUIDANCE FOR THE USDEL TO THE UN OUTER
SPACE COMMITTEE (OSC) MEETING IN NEW YORK, JUNE 26-JULY 7,
1978. IT FOCUSES ON THE KEY ISSUES WHICH WE ANTICIPATE
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 169799

WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE OSC. DETAILED GUIDANCE ON THESE
ISSUES IS CONTAINED IN THE INTER-AGENCY APPROVED POSITION
PAPERS WHICH HAVE ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED TO THE USDEL.
SHOULD THESE INSTRUCTIONS CONFLICT WITH THE ABOVE POSITION
PAPERS, THE INSTRUCTIONS HEREIN ARE CONTROLLING. THE FULL
POSITION ON NUCLEAR-POWERED SATELLITES REMAINS PENDING.
WE WILL PROVIDE THAT GUIDANCE AS SOON AS AVAILABLE AND
ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE AS REQUIRED ON ANY OTHER ISSUE WHICH
MAY ARISE, IN RESPONSE TO REQUESTS BY THE USDEL.

2. US OBJECTIVES: THE US WISHES TO ENSURE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE THAT CONTENTIOUS POLITICAL ISSUES ARE KEPT TO A MINIMUM IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE OSC, AND THAT US INTERESTS ARE NOT ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY ACTIONS TAKEN AT THE OSC. IT IS THE US GOAL TO PLAY A LEADING AND CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE OSC, TAKING INTO

FULL ACCOUNT THE INTERESTS OF THE OTHER MEMBERS, ESPECIALLY THOSE OF UNDERDEVELOPED STATES. THE OSC OPERATES ON THE BASIS OF CONSENSUS. THE MAINTENANCE OF THIS PRINCIPLE IS OF SPECIAL IMPORTANCE TO THE US, AND WE WISH TO AVOID PUTTING UNNECESSARY STRAIN ON IT.

3. A. UN CONFERENCE ON OUTER SPACE MATTERS: THE US HAS CONCURRED IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE OSC SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL SUBCOMMITTEE IN FEBRUARY 1978 FOR DEVELOPING PLANS FOR A CONFERENCE. WE PREFER THAT POLITICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES SHOULD NOT BE DEALT WITH AT THE CONFERENCE, BUT SHOULD BE REFERRED TO THE OSC. HOWEVER, US DEL MAY AGREE, SHOULD IT BECOME ADVANTAGEOUS TO DO SO, THAT "DIRECTLY RELATED POLITICAL ISSUES" MIGHT BE DISCUSSED AT THE CONFERENCE, WITH THE DEFINITION OF SUCH PERMISSIBLE ISSUES DEFERRED. FYI: WE LOOK TOWARDS CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 169799

A NARROW DEFINITION. END FYI. (THIS PARA NOT CLEARED BY NASA OR S/P.)

B. WE WOULD PREFER THAT A CONFERENCE NOT OCCUR UNTIL AFTER THE 1979 UN CONFERENCE ON S&T FOR DEVELOPMENT, IN ORDER THAT THE RESULTS OF THIS LATTER CONFERENCE MIGHT FIRST BE FULLY CONSIDERED. HOWEVER, USDEL SHOULD NOT OPPOSE AN EARLIER DATE. WE ARE OPEN TO SUGGESTIONS ON THE SITE FOR THE CONFERENCE BUT BELIEVE THAT NEITHER THE US NOR THE USSR AS SPACE POWERS SHOULD BE HOSTS. IT WOULD BE PREFERABLE IN OUR VIEW FOR A DEVELOPING STATE TO BE CHOSEN, SUCH AS INDIA OR KENYA, CAPABLE OF PROVIDING FULL FACILITIES. WE WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION TO AUSTRIA.

C. WE CONCUR WITH THE MAJOR CONFERENCE OBJECTIVES, WHICH ARE INTER ALIA:

- CONSIDERATION OF CURRENT AND FUTURE STATE OF S&T SPACE RESEARCH APPLICATIONS;
- ELABORATION OF BENEFITS FROM SPACE TECHNOLOGY; AND
- ENSURING BROAD INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN THE STUDY OF BENEFITS OF SPACE APPLICATIONS.

D- WE SEEK TO MAINTAIN THE INTEGRITY OF THE OSC IN DEALING WITH INTERNATIONAL SPACE MATTERS AND WE WISH TO PRESERVE US INTERESTS WITH REGARD TO THE RESULTS OF THE CONFERENCE. THEREFORE, WE SHOULD WORK TO REQUIRE CONSENSUS APPROVAL FOR ADOPTION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE

CONFERENCE AND TO ENSURE THAT THEIR SUBSTANCE FULLY PRESERVES US INTERESTS. WE SHOULD ALSO ENCOURAGE A MECHANISM FOR OSC REVIEW OF THE CONFERENCE RESULTS PRIOR TO THEIR SUBMISSION TO THE UNGA.

E. THE US SHOULD BE PREPARED TO PROPOSE A SUITABLE CANDIDATE FOR THE POSITION OF SCIENTIFIC CHAIRMAN. THE SCIENTIFIC CHAIRMAN SHOULD BE SOMEONE WHO HAS US INTERESTS CLEARLY IN VIEW, WHO HAS EXPERIENCE IN SPACE APPLICATIONS, CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 169799

WHO HAS WORKING RELATIONS WITH SCIENTISTS IN MANY COUNTRIES AND WHO HAS INTERNATIONAL CREDENTIALS. YOU SHOULD WORK FOR A US CANDIDATE.

4. DEFINITION OF OUTER SPACE: THIS ISSUE WILL BE ON THE AGENDA AND WILL BE PUSHED BY A NUMBER OF EQUATORIAL STATES (E.G., COLOMBIA AND ECUADOR) WHO AGREED ON THE NEED FOR DEFINITION, BUT SEEK TO EXCLUDE THE GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT REGION. THIS POSITION MAY ALSO BE SUPPORTED BY SOME NON-ALIGNED STATES. THE SOVIETS ARE WILLING TO REACH TENTATIVE AGREEMENT ON A DEFINITION NO HIGHER THAN 100 KM AS THE POINT WHERE OUTER SPACE BEGINS; LOWERING OF THAT LIMIT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE IS IN THE US INTEREST IF A DETERMINED CONSENSUS EMERGES FAVORING SETTING A LIMIT. THE US POSITION IS THAT WE SEE NO COMPELLING NEED FOR A DEFINITION OF OUTER SPACE AND HAVE NOT HEARD CONVINCING ARGUMENTS TO THE CONTRARY. IN THE CONTINUED ABSENCE OF PROVEN NEED FOR OR UTILITY OF A DEFINITION, THE USDEL SHOULD NOTE THE UNDESIRABILITY OF MOVING AHEAD ON A DEFINITION WHICH COULD BE FOUND LATER TO BE INAPPROPRIATE OR WANTING. WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO TALK WITH THE SOVIETS INFORMALLY ON THIS WITH THE AIM OF GAINING A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR SPECIFIC CONCERNS AND INTERESTS. IN RESPONDING TO EFFORTS BY CERTAIN STATES TO CLAIM SOVEREIGNTY OVER THE GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT, THE USDEL SHOULD MAKE IT ABSOLUTELY CLEAR THAT THE OUTER SPACE TREATY OF 1967 ALREADY RESOLVED THIS ISSUE. IF EFFORTS ARE MADE TO CREATE AN AGENDA ITEM DEALING WITH A LEGAL REGIME COVERING A GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT, THE USDEL SHOULD OPPOSE AS A MATTER OF PRINCIPLE ANY MORE REGULATION OF THE GSO BEYOND THE NARROW TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONSERVATION USE IS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE AT THE PRESENT TIME. CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 169799

5. REMOTE SENSING OF THE EARTH: THERE IS CONCERN AMONG A NUMBER OF COUNTRIES THAT SENSING STATES OBTAIN INFORMATION ON NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE ENVIRONMENT THAT WORK TO THEIR ADVANTAGE BUT TO THE DISADVANTAGE OF

THE "SENSED" COUNTRY. THE US POSITION, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE US LANDSAT SYSTEM, HAS BEEN TO EMPHASIZE THE MERITS OF OPEN AVAILABILITY OF REMOTELY SENSED DATA AND WE HAVE PROVIDED MUCH RAW DATA TO REQUESTORS IN MANY COUNTRIES.

FYI: IT IS CLEAR THAT CLASSIFIED DATA CANNOT BE COVERED UNDER ANY EVENTUAL INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM. THE "FACT OF" REMOTE SENSING BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FOR RECONNAISSANCE PURPOSES IS CONFIDENTIAL, ALTHOUGH SUCH SYSTEMS PERFORM, AS PART OF THEIR MISSIONS, "REMOTE SENSING OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE EARTH AND ITS ENVIRONMENT" (AS STATED IN PRINCIPLE I(A)). FROM TIME TO TIME THE USG SHARES SUCH DATA IN SUPPORT OF ITS FOREIGN AND DEFENSE POLICIES--EITHER AS A CLASSIFIED PRODUCT OR THROUGH APPROPRIATELY SANITIZED DECLASSIFIED INFORMATION. END FYI. USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO ANY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WOULD RESTRICT, ESTABLISH CONTROLS OVER, OR EXPOSE SUCH OPERATIONS IN ANY WAY. THE USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO ANY RECOMMENDATION THAT WOULD PREVENT THE US FROM MAKING THE FINAL DECISION ON PROVIDING REMOTE SENSING DATA TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY. USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO ANY REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR DISCLOSURE, SUCH AS THAT PROPOSED IN PRINCIPLE XIV UNDER THE CONCEPT OF NOTIFICATION. USDEL SHOULD ADHERE TO THE PREMISE THAT ALL OF THE PRINCIPLES BEING FORMULATED ARE VOLUNTARY AND NOT MANDATORY, AND THEREFORE SUPPORT ONLY THE USE OF THE TERM "SHOULD" RATHER THAN "SHALL." INTRODUCTION OF A PRINCIPLE BY THE USDEL ON OPEN DATA DISSEMINATION AS PROPOSED IN THE POSITION PAPER IS PREMATURE AT THIS TIME-- THE ISSUE OF SUCH A PRINCIPLE SHOULD BE KEPT OPEN FOR THE PRESENT TIME. USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO PRINCIPLES

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 169799

THAT WOULD REQUIRE PRIOR CONSENT OF, OR COMMITMENT ON THE PART OF THE USG TO THE SENSED STATE. USDEL, LIKEWISE, SHOULD NOT AGREE TO LANGUAGE THAT STATES OR IMPLIES INFORMATION, IN CONTRAST TO RAW DATA, OBTAINED FROM REMOTE SENSING CAN BE SUBJECT TO THE CONTROL OF THE SENSED STATE. REGARDING PRINCIPLES XIII-XVII, USDEL SHOULD ADVOCATE FURTHER CLARIFYING DISCUSSION OF THOSE PRINCIPLES WE CAN AGREE WITH; OPPOSE THOSE WE CANNOT AND SHOULD OPPOSE FINAL ACTION DURING THIS SESSION DUE TO THE LATE INTRODUCTION OF THESE PRINCIPLES.

6. DIRECT BROADCASTING FROM SATELLITES (DBS): THE REPORT OF THE OSC'S LEGAL SUBCOMMITTEE FOR ITS MARCH 1978 SESSION PROVIDES THAT THE OSC, WHEN CONSIDERING DBS AT ITS JUNE SESSION, "SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER WHETHER THE ELABORATION OF DRAFT PRINCIPLES ON THIS SUBJECT COULD BE CONCLUDED, OR WHETHER FURTHER PROGRESS COULD BE ACHIEVED, DURING THAT SESSION." THE US SHOULD SEEK, THROUGH INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS, TO AVOID SUBSTANTIVE NEGOTIATIONS ON DBS.

THE US POSITION ON THE ISSUE OF "PRIOR CONSENT" IS THAT SET FORTH BY PRESIDENT CARTER IN MARCH 1977. THIS POSITION

CALLS FOR STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE FLOW OF INFORMATION ACROSS NATIONAL BOUNDARIES. SHOULD IT PROVE IMPOSSIBLE TO AVOID SUCH NEGOTIATIONS, THE USDEL WILL CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THE POSITION THAT IT WILL NOT ACCEPT ANY DBS PRINCIPLE WHICH CAN BE CONSTRUED AS CONSTITUTING EITHER A PRIOR CONSENT REGIME OR PROGRAM CONTENT RESTRICTIONS. THE USDEL SHOULD ENDEAVOR TO SHIFT EMPHASIS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS TO THE QUESTION OF SPILL-OVER OR OTHER ISSUES WHERE THE UNITED STATES IS LESS ISOLATED.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 07 STATE 169799

VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 STATE 169799
ORIGIN IO-14

INFO OCT-01 ARA-10 ISO-00 /025 R

66011

DRAFTED BY:IO:UNP:PSBRIDGES

APPROVED BY:PSBRIDGES

-----027348 220543Z /10

O 220450Z JUL 78

FM SECSTATE WASHDC

TO AMEMBASSY BOGOTA IMMEDIATE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169799

FOR DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY BARRY

FOLLOWING TELEGRAM FROM SECSTATE WASHDC DATED JULY 5 1978 SENT USUN NEW YORK IS REPEATED TO YOU: QUOTE

C O N F I D E N T I A L STATE 169799

FOR JERRY HELMAN

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: TSPA, UN

SUBJECT: GUIDANCE FOR US DELEGATION TO UN OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE

1. FOLLOWING IS GUIDANCE FOR THE USDEL TO THE UN OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE (OSC) MEETING IN NEW YORK, JUNE 26-JULY 7, 1978. IT FOCUSES ON THE KEY ISSUES WHICH WE ANTICIPATE WILL BE ADDRESSED AT THE OSC. DETAILED GUIDANCE ON THESE ISSUES IS CONTAINED IN THE INTER-AGENCY APPROVED POSITION PAPERS WHICH HAVE ALREADY BEEN PROVIDED TO THE USDEL. SHOULD THESE INSTRUCTIONS CONFLICT WITH THE ABOVE POSITION
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 STATE 169799

PAPERS, THE INSTRUCTIONS HEREIN ARE CONTROLLING. THE FULL POSITION ON NUCLEAR-POWERED SATELLITES REMAINS PENDING. WE WILL PROVIDE THAT GUIDANCE AS SOON AS AVAILABLE AND ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE AS REQUIRED ON ANY OTHER ISSUE WHICH OESE, IN RESPONSE TO REQUESTS BY THE USDEL.

2. US OBJECTIVES: THE US WISHES TO ENSURE TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE THAT CONTENTIOUS POLITICAL ISSUES ARE KEPT TO A MINIMUM IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE OSC, AND THAT US INTERESTS ARE NOT ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY ACTIONS TAKEN AT THE OSC. IT IS THE US GOAL TO PLAY A LEADING AND CONSTRUCTIVE ROLE IN THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE OSC, TAKING INTO

FULL ACCOUNT THE INTERESTS OF THE OTHER MEMBERS, ESPECIALLY THOSE OF UNDERDEVELOPED STATES. THE OSC OPERATES ON THE BASIS OF CONSENSUS. THE MAINTENANCE OF THIS PRINCIPLE IS OF SPECIAL IMPORTANCE TO THE US, AND WE WISH TO AVOID PUTTING UNNECESSARY STRAIN ON IT.

3. A. UN CONFERENCE ON OUTER SPACE MATTERS: THE US HAS CONCURRED IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE OSC SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL SUBCOMMITTEE IN FEBRUARY 1978 FOR DEVELOPING PLANS FOR A CONFERENCE. WE PREFER THAT POLITICAL AND LEGAL ISSUES SHOULD NOT BE DEALT WITH AT THE CONFERENCE, BUT SHOULD BE REFERRED TO THE OSC. HOWEVER, US DEL MAY AGREE, SHOULD IT BECOME ADVANTAGEOUS TO DO SO, THAT "DIRECTLY RELATED POLITICAL ISSUES" MIGHT BE DISCUSSED AT THE CONFERENCE, WITH THE DEFINITION OF SUCH PERMISSIBLE ISSUES DEFERRED. FYI: WE LOOK TOWARDS A NARROW DEFINITION. END FYI. (THIS PARA NOT CLEARED BY NASA OR S/P.)

B. WE WOULD PREFER THAT A CONFERENCE NOT OCCUR UNTIL AFTER THE 1979 UN CONFERENCE ON S&T FOR DEVELOPMENT,
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 STATE 169799

IN ORDER THAT THE RESULTS OF THIS LATTER CONFERENCE MIGHT FIRST BE FULLY CONSIDERED. HOWEVER, USDEL SHOULD NOT OPPOSE AN EARLIER DATE. WE ARE OPEN TO SUGGESTIONS ON THE SITE FOR THE CONFERENCE BUT BELIEVE THAT NEITHER THE US NOR THE USSR AS SPACE POWERS SHOULD BE HOSTS. IT WOULD BE PREFERABLE IN OUR VIEW FOR A DEVELOPING STATE

TO BE CHOSEN, SUCH AS INDIA OR KENYA, CAPABLE OF PROVIDING FULL FACILITIES. WE WOULD HAVE NO OBJECTION TO AUSTRIA.

C. WE CONCUR WITH THE MAJOR CONFERENCE OBJECTIVES, WHICH ARE INTER ALIA:

- CONSIDERATION OF CURRENT AND FUTURE STATE OF S&T SPACE RESEARCH APPLICATIONS;
- ELABORATION OF BENEFITS FROM SPACE TECHNOLOGY; AND
- ENSURING BROAD INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION IN THE STUDY OF BENEFITS OF SPACE APPLICATIONS.

D- WE SEEK TO MAINTAIN THE INTEGRITY OF THE OSC IN DEALING WITH INTERNATIONAL SPACE MATTERS AND WE WISH TO PRESERVE US INTERESTS WITH REGARD TO THE RESULTS OF THE CONFERENCE. THEREFORE, WE SHOULD WORK TO REQUIRE CONSENSUS APPROVAL FOR ADOPTION OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE CONFERENCE AND TO ENSURE THAT THEIR SUBSTANCE FULLY PRESERVES US INTERESTS. WE SHOULD ALSO ENCOURAGE A MECHANISM FOR OSC REVIEW OF THE CONFERENCE RESULTS PRIOR TO THEIR SUBMISSION TO THE UNGA.

E. THE US SHOULD BE PREPARED TO PROPOSE A SUITABLE CANDIDATE FOR THE POSITION OF SCIENTIFIC CHAIRMAN. THE SCIENTIFIC CHAIRMAN SHOULD BE SOMEONE WHO HAS US INTERESTS CLEARLY IN VIEW, WHO HAS EXPERIENCE IN SPACE APPLICATIONS, WHO HAS WORKING RELATIONS WITH SCIENTISTS IN MANY COUNTRIES AND WHO HAS INTERNATIONAL CREDENTIALS. YOU SHOULD WORK FOR A US CANDIDATE.

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 04 STATE 169799

4. DEFINITION OF OUTER SPACE: THIS ISSUE WILL BE ON THE AGENDA AND WILL BE PUSHED BY A NUMBER OF EQUATORIAL STATES (E.G., COLOMBIA AND ECUADOR) WHO AGREED ON THE NEED FOR DEFINITION, BUT SEEK TO EXCLUDE THE GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT REGION. THIS POSITION MAY ALSO BE SUPPORTED BY SOME NON-ALIGNED STATES. THE SOVIETS ARE WILLING TO REACH TENTATIVE AGREEMENT ON A DEFINITION NO HIGHER THAN 100 KM AS THE POINT WHERE OUTER SPACE BEGINS; LOWERING OF THAT LIMIT TO THE EXTENT POSSIBLE IS IN THE US INTEREST IF A DETERMINED CONSENSUS EMERGES FAVORING SETTING A LIMIT. THE US POSITION IS THAT WE SEE NO COMPELLING NEED FOR A DEFINITION OF OUTER SPACE AND HAVE NOT HEARD CONVINCING ARGUMENTS TO THE CONTRARY. IN THE CONTINUED ABSENCE OF PROVEN NEED FOR OR UTILITY OF A DEFINITION, THE USDEL SHOULD NOTE THE UNDESIRABILITY OF MOVING AHEAD ON A DEFINITION WHICH COULD BE FOUND LATER TO BE INAPPROPRIATE OR WANTING. WE WOULD BE PREPARED TO TALK WITH THE SOVIETS INFORMALLY ON THIS WITH THE AIM OF GAINING A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF THEIR SPECIFIC CONCERNS AND INTERESTS. IN RESPONDING TO EFFORTS BY CERTAIN STATES

TO CLAIM SOVEREIGNTY OVER THE GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT, THE USDEL SHOULD MAKE IT ABSOLUTELY CLEAR THAT THE OUTER SPACE TREATY OF 1967 ALREADY RESOLVED THIS ISSUE. IF EFFORTS ARE MADE TO CREATE AN AGENDA ITEM DEALING WITH A LEGAL REGIME COVERING A GEOSYNCHRONOUS ORBIT, THE USDEL SHOULD OPPOSE AS A MATTER OF PRINCIPLE ANY MORE REGULATION OF THE GSO BEYOND THE NARROW TELECOMMUNICATIONS CONSERVATION USE IS NECESSARY OR APPROPRIATE AT THE PRESENT TIME.

5. REMOTE SENSING OF THE EARTH: THERE IS CONCERN AMONG A NUMBER OF COUNTRIES THAT SENSING STATES OBTAIN INFORMATION ON NATURAL RESOURCES AND THE ENVIRONMENT
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 05 STATE 169799

THAT WORK TO THEIR ADVANTAGE BUT TO THE DISADVANTAGE OF THE "SENSED" COUNTRY. THE US POSITION, IN THE CONTEXT OF THE US LANDSAT SYSTEM, HAS BEEN TO EMPHASIZE THE MERITS OF OPEN AVAILABILITY OF REMOTELY SENSED DATA AND WE HAVE PROVIDED MUCH RAW DATA TO REQUESTORS IN MANY COUNTRIES.

FYI: IT IS CLEAR THAT CLASSIFIED DATA CANNOT BE COVERED UNDER ANY EVENTUAL INTERNATIONAL SYSTEM. THE "FACT OF" REMOTE SENSING BY THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT FOR RECONNAISSANCE PURPOSES IS CONFIDENTIAL, ALTHOUGH SUCH SYSTEMS PERFORM, AS PART OF THEIR MISSIONS, "REMOTE SENSING OF THE NATURAL RESOURCES OF THE EARTH AND ITS ENVIRONMENT" (AS STATED IN PRINCIPLE I(A)). FROM TIME TO TIME THE USG SHARES SUCH DATA IN SUPPORT OF ITS FOREIGN AND DEFENSE POLICIES--EITHER AS A CLASSIFIED PRODUCT OR THROUGH APPROPRIATELY SANITIZED DECLASSIFIED INFORMATION. END FYI. USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO ANY RECOMMENDATIONS THAT WOULD RESTRICT, ESTABLISH CONTROLS OVER, OR EXPOSE SUCH OPERATIONS IN ANY WAY. THE USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO ANY RECOMMENDATION THAT WOULD PREVENT THE US FROM MAKING THE FINAL DECISION ON PROVIDING REMOTE SENSING DATA TO ANY OTHER COUNTRY. USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO ANY REQUIREMENT FOR PRIOR DISCLOSURE, SUCH AS THAT PROPOSED IN PRINCIPLE XIV UNDER THE CONCEPT OF NOTIFICATION. USDEL SHOULD ADHERE TO THE PREMISE THAT ALL OF THE PRINCIPLES BEING FORMULATED ARE VOLUNTARY AND NOT MANDATORY, AND THEREFORE SUPPORT ONLY THE USE OF THE TERM "SHOULD" RATHER THAN "SHALL." INTRODUCTION OF A PRINCIPLE BY THE USDEL ON OPEN DATA DISSEMINATION AS PROPOSED IN THE POSITION PAPER IS PREMATURE AT THIS TIME-- THE ISSUE OF SUCH A PRINCIPLE SHOULD BE KEPT OPEN FOR THE PRESENT TIME. USDEL SHOULD NOT AGREE TO PRINCIPLES THAT WOULD REQUIRE PRIOR CONSENT OF, OR COMMITMENT ON THE PART OF THE USG TO THE SENSED STATE. USDEL, LIKEWISE, SHOULD NOT AGREE TO LANGUAGE THAT STATES OR IMPLIES INFORMATION, IN CONTRAST TO RAW DATA, OBTAINED FROM
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 06 STATE 169799

REMOTE SENSING CAN BE SUBJECT TO THE CONTROL OF THE SENSED STATE. REGARDING PRINCIPLES XIII-XVII, USDEL SHOULD ADVOCATE FURTHER CLARIFYING DISCUSSION OF THOSE PRINCIPLES WE CAN AGREE WITH; OPPOSE THOSE WE CANNOT AND SHOULD OPPOSE FINAL ACTION DURING THIS SESSION DUE TO THE LATE INTRODUCTION OF THESE PRINCIPLES.

6. DIRECT BROADCASTING FROM SATELLITES (DBS): THE REPORT OF THE OSC'S LEGAL SUBCOMMITTEE FOR ITS MARCH 1978 SESSION PROVIDES THAT THE OSC, WHEN CONSIDERING DBS AT ITS JUNE SESSION, "SHOULD ALSO CONSIDER WHETHER THE ELABORATION OF DRAFT PRINCIPLES ON THIS SUBJECT COULD BE CONCLUDED, OR WHETHER FURTHER PROGRESS COULD BE ACHIEVED, DURING THAT SESSION." THE US SHOULD SEEK, THROUGH INFORMAL CONSULTATIONS, TO AVOID SUBSTANTIVE NEGOTIATIONS ON DBS. THE US POSITION ON THE ISSUE OF "PRIOR CONSENT" IS THAT SET FORTH BY PRESIDENT CARTER IN MARCH 1977. THIS POSITION

CALLS FOR STRONG SUPPORT FOR THE PRINCIPLE OF FREE FLOW OF INFORMATION ACROSS NATIONAL BOUNDARIES. SHOULD IT PROVE IMPOSSIBLE TO AVOID SUCH NEGOTIATIONS, THE USDEL WILL CONTINUE TO MAINTAIN THE POSITION THAT IT WILL NOT ACCEPT ANY DBS PRINCIPLE WHICH CAN BE CONSTRUED AS CONSTITUTING EITHER A PRIOR CONSENT REGIME OR PROGRAM CONTENT RESTRICTIONS. THE USDEL SHOULD ENDEAVOR TO SHIFT EMPHASIS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS TO THE QUESTION OF SPILL-OVER OR OTHER ISSUES WHERE THE UNITED STATES IS LESS ISOLATED.

VANCEUNQUOTE VANCE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 26 sep 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: COMMITTEES
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 05 jul 1978
Decaption Date: 01 jan 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 20 Mar 2014
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1978STATE169799
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: IO/UNP:JKWARD:SL
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Expiration:
Film Number: D780276-0590
Format: TEL
From: STATE
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1978/newtext/t197807106/baaaездe.tel
Line Count: 469
Litigation Code IDs:
Litigation Codes:
Litigation History:
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM
Message ID: f26d566b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Office: ORIGIN IO
Original Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 9
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: CONFIDENTIAL
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Retention: 0
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 21 jul 2005
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review Media Identifier:
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
SAS ID: 1856630
Secure: OPEN
Status: <DBA CORRECTED> jms 970820
Subject: GUIDANCE FOR US DELEGATION TO UN OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE
TAGS: TSPA, UN
To: USUN N Y
Type: TE
vdkgvwkey: odb://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS_Docs/f26d566b-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc
Review Markings:
Sheryl P. Walter
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
20 Mar 2014
Markings: Sheryl P. Walter Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 20 Mar 2014